

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,997	07/11/2003	Lawrence D. Brill	60130-1627;02MRA0559	4248
26096 7:	590 08/22/2006	EXAMINER		INER
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			ESTREMSKY, SHERRY LYNN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHAM	BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Nation of About a new and	10/617,997	BRILL ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sherry L. Estremsky	3681			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note that period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note (b) ☐ A reply was rece	Mailing or Transmission dated				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 3	7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balanc	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).	uired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		se the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
		1.			
·		Mensy Estremsky SHERRY ESTREMSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER AU3681 8-18-06			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20060818			